



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,514	12/03/2003	Martin Heeney	MERCK-2791	3769
23599 7590 10/10/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER WU, SHEAN CHIU	
			ART UNIT 1795	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/10/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/725,514

Applicant(s)

HEENEY ET AL.

Examiner

Shean C. Wu

Art Unit

1795

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 10, 11, 13, 24-26, 33, 34, 36 and 41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8, 9, 12-16, 18, 20-23, 28, 36 and 40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 10, 11, 24-26, 33, 34 and 41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 10, 11, 24-26, 33, 34 and 41 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 13 and 36 were withdrawn because the elected species X being a phenylene cannot read on the claims 13 and 36. Claim 13 excludes the elected species (see proviso b), which X and $\text{Ar}(\text{R}^1\text{R}^2)$ are different from 1,4-phenylene (see Remarks filed on 7/7/05). The newly added claims 40 and 41 are acknowledged and claim 40 is withdrawn from the consideration because only claim 41 reads on the elected species. Claims 17, 19, 29-32, 35 and 37-39 have been canceled and claims 8-9, 12-16, 18, 20-23, 28, 36 and 40 are withdrawn from the consideration. Therefore claim 1-7, 10-11, 24-26, 33-34 and 41 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7, 10-11, 24-26, 33-34 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable CAPLUS 2000: 634223 (or Macromolecules, 2000, vol. 33, pages 7349-7358)

The reference discloses a polythiophene of structure type B with Y= alkyl-substituted or alkoxy-substituted phenylene, which read on the claimed invention. The reference polymers PBTCn having liquid crystal properties and are both p- and n-dopable. The reference differs from the claims in that the claimed transistor device comprises a semiconductor or charge transport material of the present formula I. It is well

Art Unit: 1795

known in the art (for example, US 6,136,702) that these treated dopants are useful for the transistor device including TFT and FET, therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to utilize the reference polymer in the claimed device.

With respect to claim 5, the reference polymer will expect the regioregularity of at least 95% because the reference polymer reads on the present formula I.

With respect to claims 24-26, the reference polymer is useful for FET or TFT devices therefore it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to apply the reference polymer in flat panel display and security devices.

4. Claims 1-7, 10-11, 24-26, 33-34 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable CAPLUS 1992: 42166 (or Macromolecules, 1992, vol. 25(2), pages 849-860).

The reference discloses polymer of 3, 3'-dialkyl -2, 2'-bithiophene repeating unit having an electrical conductivity. See the formulae shown in Scheme 1 and polymerization in formula (1) on page 850. The reference differs from the claims in that the claimed transistor device comprises a semiconductor or charge transport material of formula I. The polymers disclosed in the references read on the present formula I. It is well known in the art that these electrical conductivity polymer having charge transport activity are useful for the transistor device including TFT and FET, therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to utilize the reference polymers in the claimed device.

With respect to claim 5, the reference polymers will expect the regioregularity of at least 95% because the reference polymers read on the present formula I.

With respect to claims 24-26, the reference polymers are useful for FET or TFT devices therefore it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to apply the reference polymers in flat panel display and security devices.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 7/25/07, with respect to the rejections in the previous Office Action have been fully considered and are persuasive by amended claim with proviso (2). Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration and search, new grounds of rejection are made in the sections 3 and 4 above.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1795

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C. Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shean C Wu/
Shean C Wu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1795

scw